

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,724	(	01/03/2001	Masafumi Kitakaze	58777.000003	1212
21967	7590	04/22/2003			
HUNTON .			EXAMINER		
1900 K-STR	EET, N.W	OPERTY DEPART '.	MITRA, RITA		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	,		1653 DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Ę.	le Copi
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
Office Assistant Commencer	09/752,724	KITAKAZE, MASAFUN	<b>Л</b> І
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rita Mitra	1653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  inty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>December 2002</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	je
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome</li> </ul>	• •		
Attachment(s)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

File Copy

Application/Control Number: 09/752,724

Art Unit: 1653

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of the Claims

Applicants' amendment and response to office action dated September 10, 2002, filed on December 10, 2002 in paper #12 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 3, 6 and 8 have been amended. New claims 11-16 have been added. Therefore, claims 1-16 are currently pending and are under examination.

### Withdrawal of Objections/Rejections

The objection to disclosure for missing continuing data is withdrawn in light of the amendment to specification at page 1, line 1.

The objection to claim 1 for the repetition of line 2 is withdrawn in light of the amendment to claim 1.

The priority date claimed March 31, 2000 is granted in light of providing an English translation in support of the priority date claimed.

The partial translation of reference D of IDS is acknowledged.

The objection to claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 as being in improper form is withdrawn in view of amendment to claims 3 and 8.

The rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment to claims.

#### New grounds of Objection/Rejection

## **New Matter Objection**

The amendment filed December 10, 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material, which is not supported by the original disclosure, is as follows:

The amendment to page 9 of the specification indicates an additional sentence that reads as "when the administration is made by coronary infusion, a higher dose of the active ingredient

Application/Control Number: 09/752,724

Art Unit: 1653

can be administered than in the case of an intravenous administration" is not supported by the original disclosure. No part of specification shows what the added sentence indicates at, also pages 4-6 of response do not address where support for the higher dose is found in the application as filed.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

# Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected because the amendment to specification at page 9 has no support from the original disclosure (see New Matter objection). Since claims would be read in light of specification would have in part the same interpretation. The response pages 4-6 (paper #12) do not indicate support for higher dose given in the original disclosure.

## Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is drawn to a method for reducing an infarct region by administering a substance capable of acting on a natriuretic peptide. The word "capable of" is not clear, since it

Application/Control Number: 09/752,724

Art Unit: 1653

is not clear whether the substance actually needs to act on a natriuretic peptide receptor or merely has the capability to do so. Amending the claim by deleting the word "capable" would obviate this rejection. Claim 12 is included in the rejection because it is depended on rejected claim and do not correct the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.

# Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 are/remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takata et al. (Cardiovascular Research, 32, 286-293, 1996). Takata et al. teach a pharmaceutical composition that comprises an effective amount of synthetic alpha human ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide) (claim1, 4 and 5), which increases the level of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) (claim 1), and has cardioprotective effects (claims 1) on myocardial ischemia (claim 3) and reperfusion injury (claim 2) (see abstract; page 287, col 1, lines 12-14 and 24-25; page 289, col 1, lines 35-39; Fig. 1 and Table 1). Therefore, Takata's composition meets the criteria of claims 1-5 of instant application. In response Applicants argue (page 5) that the myocardial protective effect of ANP disclosed by Takata is simply the suppression of arrhythmia such as ventricular extrasystoles or the suppression of the decrease of intra-cellular high energy phosphates, while in contrast the present invention comprises reducing an infarct region resulting from the ischemic necrosis. Further, Applicants assert that they found for the first time that these peptides can reduce an infarct region occurring in a model of acute myocardial infarction invoving ischemia reperfusion. Arguments are not found persuasive because the amended claims and the assertion do not make the compound and composition new, nor does it change the composition. The composition remains unchanged and anticipated.

Takata et al. also teach a method of cardioprotection (claim 6) of myocardial ischemia (claims 8) and reperfusion injury (7) by administering a composition comprising an effective amount of synthetic alpha human ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide) (claim 6, 9 and 10), which increases the level of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) (claim 6), and has

Art Unit: 1653

cardioprotective effects on myocardial ischemia and reperfusion injury (claims 6, 7, 8), (see abstract; page 287, col 1, lines 12-14 and 24-25, col 2, lines 14-18; page 289, col 1, lines 35-39; Fig. 1 and Table 1). Therefore, Takata's method anticipates claims 6-10 of instant application. In response Applicants argue (page 5) that the myocardial protective effect of ANP disclosed by Takata is simply the suppression of arrhythmia such as ventricular extrasystoles or the suppression of the decrease of intra-cellular high energy phosphates, while in contrast the present invention comprises reducing an infarct region resulting from the ischemic necrosis. However, arguments are not found persuasive because the amended claims and the response fail to address the property of cGMP uptake. Applicants have not shown separability of cGMP uptake from the compound.

### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

# Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rita Mitra whose telephone number is (703) 605-1211. The Examiner can normally be reached from 9:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. on weekdays. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low, can be reached at (703) 308-2923. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center number is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Rita Mitra, Ph.D.

April 14, 2003

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600